



Evaluation of Acoustical Parameters of Aqueous Solution of 5-Sulphosalycilic Acid

SUNANDA S. ASWALE¹, S. R. ASWALE², AND P. J. GANJARE³

¹Lokmanya Tilak Mahavidyalaya, Wani. Dist. Yavatmal, M. S. 445304. ²Shivramji Moghe Mahavidyalaya, Pandharkawada, Dist. Yavatmal, M. S. Corresponding author- sraswale@gmail.com

Abstract

The ultrasonic studies are extensively used in the conformational analysis of organic molecules. These are also used for the determination of molecular interactions in the liquids which provide valuable information about the behavior of liquids and solutions. In the present work, attempts have been made to investigate the behavior of 5-sulphosalycilic acid in water at various concentrations and also at different temperatures. The ultrasonic velocity, viscosity and density are measured experimentally. In order to get more information on the nature and strength of molecular interaction, we have calculated the other related acoustical parameters such as adiabatic compressibility, intermolecular free length, Rao's constant, Wada's constant, free length, relaxation time and acoustical impedance. The non linear variation of these derived acoustical parameters with different concentration of the solute is explained on the basis of structural changes occurring in a solution.

Keywords: Ultrasonic waves, Molecular Interaction, Intermolecular free length, Rao's constant(R), and Wada's constant(W).

Introduction:-

Ultrasonic studies in the aqueous solutions of various drugs yield information about the nature of molecular interactions as observed by various researchers ¹⁻¹⁰. In many industrial applications, liquid mixtures rather than single component liquid system, are used in processing and product formulations¹¹⁻¹². Liquid mixtures consisting of polar and non-polar components are of considerable importance in petrochemical, pharmaceutical and dye industries.

A commercial use of salicylic acid and its derivatives like 5-sulphosalicylic acid are widely used to synthesize different antibiotic drugs. An exhaustive survey of literature reveals that studies on the use of acoustic and volumetric properties and their excess functions in order to understand molecular interactions in binary liquid mixtures of 5-sulphosalicylic acid and water have not been studied so far. Hence adiabatic compressibility, molar free volume, intermolecular free length, relaxation time and other parameters have been calculated at three temperatures at an interval of 5^oC for 298K, 303K and 308K. Sound velocity, viscosity and density are measured at 4MHz frequency and different ultrasonic parameters have been calculated with a view to investigate the exact nature of the molecular interactions.¹³⁻¹⁴







2-Hydroxy-5-Sulpho-Benzoic Acid

Experimental Section:

Materials:-

Analytical Range (AR) 5-sulphosalicylic acid is used in the present work. The solutions were prepared by using double distilled water as a solvent. The concentration range selected 5-sulpho salicylic acid is 0.1M, 0.01M and 0.001M.

Methods:-

All the weighing was done on digital electronic balance Model - CB/CA/CT-Series Contech having accuracy ± 0.0001 g. The sound speed was measured by using ultrasonic multifrequency Interferometer (Model-M-83) supplied by Mittal Enterprises, New Delhi operating at 4 MHz frequency with an accuracy of ± 2 m/s. The viscosities (η) of solution and solvent were determined by using Ostwald's viscometer by calibrating with double distilled water. The densities (d_s) of the solutions were measured accurately using digital densitometer (Model - DMA-35, Anton Paar). The experimentation is carried out at three different temperatures viz. 298K, 303K and 308K so as to study the comparative interactions¹⁵⁻¹⁶.

Results And Discussion:

The experimentally measured values of density, viscosity and sound speed of solutions of sodium salicylate in water at 298K, 303K and 308K are given in **Table 1**.

The acoustical parameters were calculated from $v,\,\eta$ and d values using standard formulae, and

given in Table 2-4.

1) Adiabatic Compressibility	-	$\beta = 1/v^2 d_S$	(1)
2) Intermolecular free length	-	$Lf = K \sqrt{\beta_s}$	(2)
3) Specific acoustic impedance	-	$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{S}}$	(3)
4) Rao's Constant	-	$\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{eff}}/\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{S}}) imes \mathrm{v}^{1/3}$	(4)
5) Wada's Constant	-	$\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{M}_{\rm eff}/\mathbf{d}_{\rm S}) \times \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1/7}$	(5)
6) Relative Association	-	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{S}} / \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{O}} [\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{o}} / \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}]^{1/3}$	(7)
7) Relaxation time	-	$\boldsymbol{x} = 4/3 \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{\eta}$	(8)
8) Free Volume	-	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{eff}} imes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} / \mathbf{k} imes \mathbf{\eta}$	(9)
	$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}$	4.28×10 ⁹ , Temperature Independent Constan	t for all liquids.
9) Equivalent Conductance	-	$\lambda = \mathbf{Kc}[1000/\mathbf{N}]$	(10)



Table 1: Density, Velocity and Viscosity at 298, 303, 308 K (At Frequency-4MHz)

Sr.No.	Temperature (⁰	Concentration	Density (d _s)	Velocity (v _s)	Viscosity () X
	K)	(M)	(Kg/m^3)	(m/s)	10^{-04} (Pa.S.) or
					$\mathrm{Kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
1	298	0.1	1007.2	4004.40	9.59
2		0.01	0999.7	4647.80	9.13
3		0.001	0998.0	5503.18	8.86
4	303	0.1	1006.9	3903.12	8.85
5		0.01	0998.8	4460.23	8.49
6		0.001	0997.7	5350.18	8.18
7	308	0.1	1006.3	3802.10	8.08
8		0.01	0998.3	4380.03	7.86
9		0.001	0997.3	5120.06	7.64

Table 2 Adiabatic Compressibility, Acoustic impedance and Free length at 298, 303, 3080 K.

Sr.	Temperature $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K \end{pmatrix}$	Concentration (M)	Adiabatic	Acoustic	Free length Lf $X = 10^{-11} \text{ m}$
110.	(K)	(141)	$(\beta_{\rm S} \ge 10^{-11})$ Pa ⁻¹	(Kgm ⁻² S ⁻¹)	XIU III
1	298	0.1	6.19	4033232	1.55
2		0.01	4.63	4646406	1.34
3		0.001	3.31	5492174	1.13
4	303	0.1	6.52	3930052	1.6
5		0.01	5.03	4454878	1.4
6		0.001	3.5	5337875	1.17
7	308	0.1	6.87	3826053	1.66
8		0.01	5.22	4372584	1.45
9		0.001	3.82	5106236	1.24

Table 3 : Relative association, apparent molar compressibility and relaxation time.

Sr.	Temperature	Concentration	Relative Association	Relaxation Time
No.	(⁰ K)	(M)	(R_A)	$\tau \ge 10^{-14}$
1	298	0.1	1.113129	7.92
2		0.01	1.051307	5.64
3		0.001	0.992053	3.91
4	303	0.1	1.143218	7.69
5		0.01	1.084691	5.69
6		0.001	1.019742	3.82
7	308	0.1	1.177727	7.41
8		0.01	1.114535	5.47
9		0.001	1.056961	3.9





Sr. No.	Temperature (⁰ K)	Concentration (M)	Rao's Constant (R)×10 ⁻³	Wada's Constant (W)×10 ⁻³	Free Volume (Vf) ×10 ⁻²	λ=Kc*(1000/N) mhos eq.
1	298	0.1	1.58	2.85	3.05	347
2		0.01	1.66	2.99	4.09	531
3		0.001	1.76	3.13	5.49	1300
4	303	0.1	1.56	2.83	3.30	388
5		0.01	1.64	2.95	4.28	601
6		0.001	1.75	3.11	5.94	1420
7	308	0.1	1.55	2.81	3.64	411
8		0.01	1.63	2.94	4.67	654
9		0.001	1.72	3.07	6.15	1630

Table 4 · Rao's	S Constant	Wada's C	Constant F	Free volume	and Ec	mivalent c	onductance
14010 1.1440 1	, constant,	mudu b C	Joniotant, 1	ice volume	und De	jui vuiciit c	onductunee.



Fig-1. Ultrasonic velocity Vs Conc.



Fig-3. Acoustiv Impedance Vs Conc.



Fig-2. Adiabatic comp. Vs Conc.



Fig-4. Free Length Vs Conc.



9 8

7

6

5

4 3

3.20E-03

3.10E-03

3.00E-03

2.90E-03

2.80E-03

2.70E-03

2.60E-03

2000

1500

λ 1000

W/

0.001 0.01

Conc.

Fig-6. Relaxation time Vs Conc.

0.001 0.01

Conc.

Fig-8 Wada's Constant Vs Conc.

0.1

0.1

ı×10^{−14}



298K

303K

298K

303K

- 308K

298K

-303K

-308







Fig-7.Rao's Constant Vs Conc.









Conc



The variation in ultrasonic velocity in any solution generally indicates molecular association in it. It is seen from the Table 1 and Fig. 1 that the ultrasonic velocity decreases with increase in concentration. This is due to the formation of more hydrogen bonds with increase in concentration as a result of solutesolvent interactions ¹⁷. It is also observed from Table 1 that the density increases with an increase in the concentration of the solution. This may be attributed to the shrinkage in the volume which in turn is due to the presence of the solute. In other words, the increase in density may be interpreted due to the enhanced structure of the solvent mixture due to added drug¹⁸. It is further seen from the Table 1 that



viscosity values increase with increase in concentration of 5-sulpho salicylic acid. When solute is dissolved in a solvent, some of the solvent molecules are attached to the solute as a result of solute-solvent interaction and the viscosity of solution increases. Generally the increase in viscosity of the solution on addition of solute indicates the structure making aspect of solutes¹⁹. The viscosity values of the solutions decreases with an increase in temperature. The increase in temperature may have caused the increase in the kinetic energy of molecules and ion present in the solution, which in turn, decreases the solute-solvent interactions²⁰.

Free volume is generally expressed as the effective volume in which the centre of molecule can move when all the other molecules are held fixed at their mean position. **Table 4 and Fig. 9** show that free volume decreases with increase in concentration of 5-sulphosalicylic acid. The addition of solute in the solution enhances the structural arrangement of the system. The decrease in free volume indicates the decrease in the entropy of the system. This also confirms that the free volume of a molecule at a given temperature and pressure depends upon the internal pressure of the liquid in which it is immersed, as suggested by Hirschfelder and co-workers²¹⁻²².

Table 2 shows the variation of adiabatic compressibility with concentration at different temperatures. The decrease in adiabatic compressibility show there is a significant molecular interaction at higher concentration. The trend in intermolecular free length with concentration (Fig. 4) is similar to the trend in adiabatic compressibility. The increase in free length signifies weak molecular interaction and decrease in length signifies specific interaction. The increase in free length with increase in velocity indicates the presence of significant interactions between solute and solvent molecules due to which the structural arrangement in the neighborhood of constituent ions are considerably affected. The values of intermolecular free length at different concentrations and at different temperatures are represented in **Table 2.** The variation of Rao's constant and Wada's constant with molar solutions of 5-sulphosalicylic acid in water are represented in Table-4. From the Table 4 and Fig. 7 and 8, it is observed that non linear variation of Rao's constant and Wada's constant indicates significant molecular interactions between molecules. The equivalent conductance values are found to be decreasing for increase in concentration while increasing for increase in the temperature as expected. These values are given in Table 4 and shown in Fig. 10. Acoustical impedance (z) shows a trend similar to ultrasonic velocity (Table 2) at 298K, 303K and 308K, acoustic impendence increases (Fig. 3). This increase in acoustic impendence shows that the molecular interactions in these solutions are associative 23 .

Acoustical relaxation time (τ) is found to decrease with increase in temperature and varies with concentration shown in **Table 3**. A comparative study of adiabatic compressibility and acoustical relaxation time indicate that the variations in acoustical relaxation time are mainly due to the changes in the viscosity of the solution due to both concentration and temperature (**Fig. 6**).



Relative association (R_A) (**Table 3 and Fig. 5**) shows a general increase with increase in temperature. It is found to be nearly equal to unity and variations in its values indicates molecular interactions.

Conclusion:-

Thermodynamical parameters like free volume, intermolecular free length, adiabatic compressibility etc were estimated for 5-sulphosalicylic acid in aqueous media at three different temperatures and related to the strength of interactions between solute and solvent molecules. Further the solute (drug) acts as a structure maker in the solvent complimenting the results obtained from partial molar volumes (V_{Φ}^{0}), viscosity coefficients which were reported in details²⁴.

References:-

- [1]. S. S. Aswale, S. R. Aswale and A. B. Dhote, Euro. J. Appl. Eng. Sci. Res., 2012, 1(2):73-79.
- [2]. S. S. Aswale, S. R. Aswale and A. B. Dhote, Int. J. Pharm. Sci, Vol 4, Issue 4, 240-243.
- [3]. S. S. Aswale, S. R. Aswale and R. S. Hajare, Int. J. Pharm. Sci, Vol 5, Suppl 1, 76-79.
- [4]. Sunanda S. Aswale, Shashikant R. Aswale and Rajesh S. Hajare, J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2012, 4(5):2671-2677.
- [5]. Muralidhar Rao G, Ravindra Prasad K., J. Pure Appl. Ultrason. 1992; 14: 51-55.
- [6]. Subramanyam Naidu P, Muralidhar Rao G, Ravindra Prasad K., J. Pure Appl. Ultrason. 1996; 18: 37-40.
- [7]. Ravindra Prasad K, Subramanyam Naidu P., Ind. J Pure & Appl. Phys. 2003; 41: 686-689.
- [8]. Jaya Madhuri N, Glory J, Naidu P S, Ravindra Prasad K., Proceeding of Eighteenth National Symposium on Ultrasonics (NSU-XVIII, Vellore 21-23 Dec 2009; 405-408.
- [9]. Jahagirdar D V, Arbad B R, Walvejar M K, Lande M K, Shankarwar A G, J. Pure Appl. Ultrason. 1999; 21: 108-112.
- [10]. Shri Prasad S, Akhilandeswari P E, Abdul Mahaboob PA, Gayathri G S, Mohammad Kamil M S, Proceeding of Eighteenth National Symposium on Ultrasonics (NSU-XVIII), Vellore. 21-23 Dec 2009; 149-153.
- [11]. Blitz J, "Fundamental of Ultrasonics, Butterworths", London, 1963, pp 117.
- [12]. Nithiyanantham S. and Palaniappan L., Acta Ciencia Indica, 37(3) (2006) 382.
- [13]. Bhatt S. C. Harikrishnan Semwal and B. S. Semwal, J.Acous.Soc.India,28(2000)275-278.
- [14]. Murliji D., Sekar S. and Ramkumar A. R., J. Pure and Appl. Ultrason., 24 (2002) 63-67.
- [15]. Mehra R and Sajnani H, Phys. Chem. Liq, (2000) 38, 683.
- [16]. Mehra R, Gaur A K, J Chem. Eng. Data, (2008) 53, 863
- [17]. K. Rajgopal and S. S. Jaybalkrishnan, J. Pure and Appl. Ultrason, 28 (2006) 81-86.
- [18]. Arivudai Nambi, T., Philominathan P. & A. Dhanlakshmi, J. Acous. Soc. Ind., 33(2005)259-263.





- [19]. P.Sharma, S. Chauhan, V. K. Syal, Int. J. Thermophys. 29 (2008) 643-655.
- [20]. M. J. Iqbal and M. A. Chaudhry, J. Mol. Liq. 143 (2008) 75-80.
- [21]. V.Solachi, E.Jasmine, and K.Chitradevi. Acous. Soc. Ind. Vol 33, 2005
- [22]. J. O. Hirschfelder, D. P. Stevenson and H. J. Eyring, Chem. Phys. 5 (1937) 901.
- [23]. Tabane J., Acous. Soc. Ind. 28 (2000) 369-371.
- [24]. S. Baluja, A. Solanki and N. Kachhadia, Russian J. Physical Chem. A, 81 (2007) 742-746.