



# Gas Sensitivity of Cu<sub>2</sub>S Thin Films by CBD Route

M. S. SHINDE<sup>1</sup> AND R. S. PATIL<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Dept. of Physics, M.J.M. Arts, Commerce & Science College Karanjali (Peth), Dist-Nashik - 422 208 (M. S.) India.
 <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Physics, P. S. G. V. P. M'S Arts, Science & Commerce College Shahada, Dist: Nandurbar-425 409.
 Corresponding author: mahen3569@rediffmail.com

## Abstract

Nanocrystalline semiconducting copper sulphide ( $Cu_2S$ ) thin films were deposited on glass substrate by relatively simple, quick and cost effective chemical route. The characterization of as deposited thin films was carried out for the structural, compositional, surface morphological, optical and gas sensing properties using X-ray diffraction (XRD), E-DAX, Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), AFM, UV-VIS Spectra and Gas sensing static unit. Conductance responses of the nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin films were measured by exposing as deposited film to different gases like carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), Ethanol( $C_2H_5OH$ ), Ammonia ( $NH_3$ ), Hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) and Chlorine ( $Cl_2$ ). It was found that the sensors exhibited various sensing responses to these gases at different operating temperature. Furthermore, the sensor exhibited a fast response and a good recovery times. The results demonstrated that nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film can be used as a new type of gas sensing material which has a high sensitivity and good selectivity to Ammonia ( $NH_3$ ) gas at 400 ppm.

Keywords: Nanocrystalline Cu<sub>2</sub>S, Gas sensing, Sensitivity, Selectivity, Recovery times.

## Introduction

A gas sensor is a electronic interface which sense the presence of various gases within an area, usually as part of a safety system. Gas sensors are important in environmental monitoring, home safety and chemical controlling. Many different semiconducting metal oxides [1-4] and sulphides [5,6] in bulk, thick film, and thin film form have been studied as a candidate sensor element for gas sensing. Gas sensing Principle is associated with a surface phenomenon so it brings high value for nanocrystalline thin film technology. Nanocrystalline thin films have great novelty because of their huge surface-to-volume ratio and high porosity. Due to this high ratio and porosity a large number of analyte molecules can be adsorbed by nanostructures and within nanostructures in a short time. This leads to both high sensitivity and short response time for sensors. The sensing mechanism consists in the change of electrical resistivity resulting from chemical reaction between gas molecules and the metal sulphide surface and hence the surface morphology has an essential role on the sensitivity of solid-state sensors.

Copper Sulphide ( $Cu_2S$ ) belongs to I-IV group compound of semiconducting material. Its band gap varies between 1.2 to 2.5 eV. The  $Cu_2S$  thin films have wide range of well perspective applications such as



photovoltaic cells[7], tubular solar collectors[8], automobile glazing[9], solar control coatings[10], dyesensitized solar cells [11.12], photodetectors[13], electroconductive electrode[14], microwave shielding coatings[15], super conductors[16], potential nanometer-scale switch[17].

Previously some of the researchers work out with copper sulphide as gas sensor such as Galdikasa et. al. [18] reported the use of  $Cu_xS$  thick films for detection of traces of ethanol ,acetone and ammonia. A.Setkus et.al [19] studied properties of CuS thin film based structures and its influence on the Sensitivity to ammonia gas at room temperatures. Sagade et. al. [20-22] have been developed Room-temperature-functioning ammonia gas sensor and study the effect of irradiation to improve the sensitivity of  $Cu_xS$  thin film sensor. Recently X.L. Yu et. al. [23] made a study over gas sensing properties of CuS hallow spheres.

The main objective of the present investigation is to fabricate and study the gas sensing property of Nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor, having higher sensitivity along with optimization of selectivity, operable at lower operating temperature and short response and recovery times. In present investigation nanocrystalline copper sulphide ( $Cu_2S$ ) thin film sensor were fabricated by using chemical bath deposition method to study its gas sensitivity. The gas-sensing properties of  $Cu_2S$  thin films to different reducing gases, namely Ammonia ( $NH_3$ ), carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), Ethanol ( $C_2H_5OH$ ), Hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) and Chlorine ( $Cl_2$ ) were studied.

## **Experimental Details**

The deposition was carried out by using Corning glass slides (25mm X75mm X 1mm) as substrate which were initially boiled in concentrated chromic acid for 30 min. rinsed in acetone, deionised water and finally ultrasonically cleaned. All analytical grade (A.R) reagents were used as it is without further purification for the deposition of Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin films. Aqueous solution of 0.1M Copper chloride, 0.1M thiourea, complexing agent 10% aqueous ammonia and hydrazine hydrate were used. Initially 10ml of CuCl<sub>2</sub> solution and 8.5 ml ammonia and 1.5 ml hydrazine hydrate were placed in 100 ml beaker, after stirring for several minutes solution becomes purple and homogeneous under continuous stirring , 5 ml thiourea solution was introduced then pretreated substrate were vertically immersed into the prepared bath at room temperature. Preparative parameters are optimized for best quality Cu<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystalline thin film.

## **Characterization Techniques**

The thickness of the thin films was measured by a surfcom 480°A profilometer. The structural characterization of the films was carried out using Philips (PW-3710) X-ray diffractometer with CuK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\alpha$ = 1.5404°A) in 20 range from 20<sup>0</sup>-80<sup>0</sup>. The surface morphological study of Cu<sub>2</sub>S films was carried out by scanning electron microscopy using a Model JOEL, JSM 6360 A. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX) were recorded on Energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer attached to the SEM model.





The surface morphology of the thin films was recorded using atomic force microscopy by Quesant Instrument Corporation, Q-Scope 250. The optical absorption spectra of the figure were recorded on Systronic spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 350-850 nm.

### **Result And Discussion**

## **Structural Studies**

Fig. 3 shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of as-deposited  $Cu_2S$  thin film on to the amorphous glass substrate.



Fig. 3: The X-ray diffraction pattern of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S on glass substrate at room temperature The film exhibit the nanocrystalline nature with broad hump due to amorphous glass substrate. The short intense peaks at  $2\theta = 27.42$  (d = 3.2496Å),  $2\theta = 31.76$  (d=2.8143) and  $2\theta = 45.5$  (d = 1.9900Å) corresponding to the (111) (200) and (220) planes respectively Cu<sub>2</sub>S of with cubic crystal structure. The crystallite size was estimated by using the well-known Scherrer's formula as,

$$D = 0.9\lambda/\beta \cos\theta \tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å for CuK $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peak corrected for the instrumental broadening in radians and  $\theta$  is the Bragg's angle. The sample of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film resulted in an average crystallite size of 40-50 nm.

The EDAX technique is used to determine quantitative composition of  $Cu_2S$  films deposited on glass substrate .The composition ratio was 2:10f atomic mass percent for Cu and S respectively as shown in fig.4. From the compositional analysis we found that deposited copper sulphide thin film was copper rich.

## **Surface Morphological Studies**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a versatile technique for studying microstructure of thin films. The Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film with 200 nm thickness was used to study the surface morphology using a scanning electron microscopy. **Fig. 5** shows a scanning electron microscope of Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film at X





100,000 magnification the scale bar length is 100nm the average grain size of  $Cu_2S$  thin films was estimated using Cotrells methods [24].



Fig.4: The energy dispersive X-ray analysis of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S film on glass substrate at room temperature, showing the inclusion of Copper and Sulphar in Cu<sub>2</sub>S film.



Fig. 5: The surface morphology of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S on glass substrate at room temperature by scanning electron microscopy studies.

The as-deposited film shows nanometer size spherical grains (40-50 nm). Somewhere bigger size grains are formed due to agglomeration of small size nanoparticles . The film surface looks smooth and uniform. It was observed that the film was uniform golden brownish colored and well substrate covered. Fig. 6 (a) and (b) show the three-dimensional and two-dimensional atomic force microscopy (AFM) images for Cu2S thin films deposited on glass substrate





Fig. 6 (a) and Fig. 6(b) show the three-dimensional and two-dimensional atomic force microscopy (AFM) images for Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin films deposited on glass substrate.

All the AFM images were measured for an area of 1000 nm x 1000 nm. The atomic force microscopy images of the films prepared on glass substrate indicate spherical shaped grains. The substrate surface is well covered with grains that are uniformly and regularly distributed over the surface which indicating more nucleation sites has formed. The average sizes of smaller grains are observed to be 40 to 50 to nm. The surface is relatively uniform and somewhere the spherical part get agglomerated to form a large grain features with the Average surface roughness and thickness is 48.30 and 65 nm respectively.

#### **Optical Properties**

The optical properties of  $Cu_2S$  thin films are determined from absorbance measurement in the range 350-900nm **Fig.7** shows the absorbance spectra of  $Cu_2S$  thin films.



Fig. 7: The absorbance spectra of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S on glass substrate.

Absorbance coefficient  $\alpha$  associated the strong absorption region of the films was calculated from absorbance (A) and the film thickness (t) using relation [25]



$$\alpha = 2.3026 \text{ A/t}$$
 (2)

The absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  was analyzed using the following expression for near age optical absorption of semiconductors [26]

$$(\alpha h \upsilon) = K (h \upsilon - E_g)^{n/2}$$
(3)

Where k is Boltzmann's constant,  $E_g$  is separation between valance and conduction bands and n is constant that is equal to 1 for direct band gap semiconductor. The band gap were determined from the intersect of straight line portion of  $(\alpha h \upsilon)^2$  versus h $\upsilon$  graph shown in **fig. 8** 



Fig. 8: Variation of  $(\alpha h \upsilon)^2$  verses h $\upsilon$  of as-deposited Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film on glass substrate at room temperature.

The observed band gap values of the films was 2.34 eV This is good agreement with the reported values [27,28].

#### **Sensing Performance**

## Measurement of Gas response, Selectivity, Response and Recovery Time

Sensitivity (S) or Gas response is defined, as the ratio of change in conductance of the sensor on exposure of the target gas to the original conductance in air medium. The relation for S is as:

$$\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{Gg} - \mathbf{Ga}) / \mathbf{Ga},$$

where Ga is the conductance of sensor in air medium and Gg is the conductance of sensor in gaseous medium.

Selectivity or specificity is defined, as the ability of a sensor to respond to certain gas in the presence

of more gases. Selectivity of one gas over other is defined as, the ratio of the maximum Response of other gas to the maximum response of the target gas at optimum temperature.

Selectivity =  $S_{target gas} / S_{gas}$ 

The Response time is defined, as the time taken for the sensor to attain 90 % of the maximum change in conductance on exposure to the target gas and The time taken by the sensor to get back 90 % of the original conductance is the recovery time



Fig. 9 depicts the variation gas responses as function of operating temperature of nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin films for different gases with 400 ppm concentration.



Fig. 9: Variation of gas response as function of operating temperature.

From fig.10 it is observed that nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor shows high sensitivity at room temperature for ammonia and  $H_2S$  gas. The sensitivity for  $NH_4$  and  $H_2S$  was observed to decrease with increase in temperature but in case of ethanol and chlorine the behavior of thin film sensor is quite different.







For ethanol it is observed that gas response was starts from  $100^{\circ}$ C and remains up to  $250^{\circ}$ C. Cu<sub>2</sub>S sensor shows highest sensitivity at  $200^{\circ}$ C for ethanol and at  $250^{\circ}$ C for chlorine but didn't show any sensitivity for CO<sub>2</sub> gas.

## Selectivity for NH<sub>3</sub> Against Various Gases

The gas response of nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor was tested for NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH and Cl<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>.





It is observed from **Fig. 11** that the nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  sensor gives maximum response to  $NH_3$  gas at room temperature. The nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor showed highest selectivity for  $NH_3$  among all other tested gases.

## **Response and Recovery Time**

The response of nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor was found to be quick (~ 30 s) to 400 ppm of NH3 while the recovery was fast (~ 90 s). The fast response may be due to inter-conversion of Cu(II) into Cu(I) The negligible quantity of the surface reaction product and its high volatility explains its fast response and quick recovery to its initial chemical status.

## Discussion

In p type of semiconductors when any reducing gases comes in contact with the film surface its resistivity get increases and for oxidizing gases its conductivity increases. Generally in metal oxide semiconductors sensing property is solely due to adsorption and desorption of oxygen species over thin film surface. But in copper sulphide thin films the gas sensing activity collectively is due to two different effects 1) Adsorption and desorption of oxygen 2) Inter-conversion of Cu(II) to Cu(I) charge states.





As discussed by X.L.Yu et. al [23] when reducing gases comes in contact with O<sup>-</sup> or O<sup>2-</sup> which is adsorb on film surface . It leads to the decrease of the carrier (holes) density in the surface charge layer and thus the gas sensor phenomenon is detected .But this effect is less dominant as compared to the effect of inter-conversion of Cu(II) to Cu(I) charge states as discussed by Sagade et. al [20].Crystalline Copper sulphide has a complex layer structure. In this layer  $(1/3)^{rd}$  copper atoms are trigonally coordinated Cu(II),  $(2/3)^{rd}$  Copper atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated Cu(I) and  $(2/3)^{rd}$  sulphar atoms are as  $S_2^{2-}$  i.e. collectively this can be expressed as  $Cu_4^TCu_{2-}^T(S_2)_2S_2$ . This Cu(II) and Cu(I) charge states have very important role in sensitivity of reducing gases .Particularly in Cu<sub>2</sub>S the amount Cu(I) is large compared to Cu(II) . When molecules of reducing gas lands on the Cu<sub>2</sub>S surface the charge states Cu(II) and Cu(I) exchanges electron lone pair. This leads the conversion of charge state Cu(II) into Cu(I) and thus the gas sensor phenomenon is detected .Sensitivity of Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film for reducing gas is depends on exchange of electron lone pair.

Here we observed that  $Cu_2S$  thin film sensor shows increase in resistivity for reducing gases like NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH ,and increase in conductivity for oxidizing gas Cl<sub>2</sub> i.e. surface chemistry of nanocrystalline Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin film sensor is suitable for gas sensing of various gases.

#### Conclusions

The nanocrystalline  $Cu_2S$  thin films were synthesized by A simple chemical bath deposition method and its gas sensing properties were investigated .The following statements can be made from the present investigation:

- A simple chemical bath deposition method was used to fabricate nanocrystalline Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin films gas sensor and this fabricated sensor was characterize by XRD,SEM, EDAX,UV-VIS spectra and AFM.
- Nanocrystalline Cu<sub>2</sub>S thin films gas sensor showed highest response to ammonia at room Temperature.
- iii) The sensor showed rapid response and recovery time.
- iv) This sensor showed response for NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH and Cl<sub>2</sub>.
- v) The sensor has good selectivity to NH<sub>3</sub> against H<sub>2</sub>S, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, CO<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub>.

#### References

- [1] D.R. Patil, L.A. Patil Talanta, 77 (2009) 1409–1414.
- [2] L.A. Patil, D.R. Patil, Sensors and Actuators B 120 (2006) 316–323.
- [3] D. R. Patil, D. D. Kale, S. R. Patil, K. M. Garadkar Sensor Letters Vol. 7, 1–8, 2009.
- [4] V. Khatko, E. Llobet, X. Vilanova, J. Brezmes, J. Hubalek, K. Malysz, X. Correig, Sensors and Actuators B 111–112 (2005) 45–51.





- [5] D. Nesheva, Z.Aneva, S. Reynolds, C. Main, A.G. Fitzgerald, Journal Of Optoelectronics And Advanced Materials, Vol. 8, No. 6, December 2007, p. 2120 – 2125.
- [6] Zhi-Gang Chen, Jin Zou, Gang Liu, Hao Feng Lu, Nanotechnology 19 (2008) 055710.
- [7] R.P. Raffaelle, S.L. Castro, A.F. Hepp, S.G. Bailey, Prog. Photovoltaics, 10,(2002), 433.
- [8] V. Dimitrova, J. Tate, Thin Solid films., 134, (2000), 365.
- [9] M. T. S. Nair, and P. K. Nair, J Phys. D; Appl. Phys, 24,(1991),450.
- [10] A.C.Rastogi and S Salkalachen J Phys. D; Appl. Phys. 58,(1985), 4442.
- [11] P.J. Sebastian, O. Gomez-Daza, J. Campos, L. Banos and P.K. Nair, Sol. Energy Mater. 32,(1994),159.
- [12] T.J.Cumerbatch and P.E.Parden, E.C.Photovoltaic sol. Energy Conf. 7, 675,(1986).
- [13] K.D. Yuan, J.J. Wu, M.L. Liu, L.L. Zhang, F.F. Xu, L.D. Chen, F.Q. Huang, Appl. Phys. Lett., 93,102-106, (2008).
- [14] L. Huang, P.K. Nair, M.T.S. Nair, R.A. Zingaro, E.A. Meyers, J. Electrochem. Soc., 141, 2536-2540,(1994).
- [15] T. Sakamoto, H. Sunamura, H. Kawaura, T. Hasegawa, T. Nakayama, M. Aono, Appl. Phys. Lett., 82, 3032-3034,(2003).
- [16] K. Tezuka, W.C. Sheets, R. Kurihara, Y.J. Shan, H. Imoto, T.J. Marks, K.R. Poeppelmeier, Solid State Sci.9, 95-99,(2007).
- [17] J.S. Chung, H.J. Sohn, J. Power Sources, 108, 226-231,(2002).A.Galdikas, A. Mironas, V. Strazdiene, A. Setkus, I. Ancutiene, V. Janickis Sensors and Actuators B 67 (2000)76–83.
- [18] A. Setkus, A. Galdikas, A. Mironas, I. Simkiene, I. Ancutiene, V. Janickis, S. Kacuiulis, G. Mattogno, and G. M. Ingo, Thin Solid Films 391, 275 2001
- [19] Abhay Abhimanyu Sagade, Ramphal Sharma, and Indra Sulaniya ,Journal Of Applied Physics 105 (2009)043701-8
- [20] Abhay A. Sagade, Ramphal Sharma, Rajaram S. Mane and Sung-Hwan Han, Sensors & Transducers Journal, 95, Issue 8, (August 2008) 81-85.
- [21] Abhay A. Sagade and Ramphal Sharma, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 133, Issue: 1 (2008) Pages: 135-143.
- [22] X.L. Yu,Y. Wang,H.L.W. Chan,C.B. Cao, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials Volume 118, Issues 13, 1 February 2009, Pages 423–426
- [23] A.cottrell, Intorduction to Mettallurgy, Arnold, Londan, P.173, (1975).
- [24] R.S.Longhurst, Geometrical & physical optics, Longmans green, Londan (1957).
- [25] Vipin Kumar, K.L.A. Khan, G. Singh and T.P. Sharma, Appl .Surf. Sci.253, No.7, 2543, (2007).
- [26] H.M. Pathan, J.D. Desai, C.D. Lokhande, Appl. Surf. Sci, 202, 47-56, (2002).
- [27] S.D. Sartale, C.D. Lokhande Materials Chemistry and Physics 65, 63-67, (2000).